

**RFQ #16-14 - LEGAL SERVICES**

**BID TAB**

	Firm's Name		Abernathy, Roeder, Boyd & Joplin	Bickerstaff, Heath, Delgado & Acosta	Bracket & Ellis	Buford & Ryburn	Eichelbaum, Wardell, Hansen, Powell & Mehl	Fisher & Phillips	Leasor Crass	O'Hanlon, McCollom & Demerath	Robert E. Luna	Vincent, Lopez, Serafino & Jenevein	Walsh Anderson
Description	Description Subset (as applicable)	Points Available	Points Awarded	Points Awarded	Points Awarded	Points Awarded	Points Awarded	Points Awarded	Points Awarded	Points Awarded	Points Awarded	Points Awarded	Points Awarded
<b>Technical Evaluation Criteria</b>	1. Responsiveness to the information requested in the RFQ	5	3.5	4.3	4.8	4.3	4.2	4.7	5.0	4.7	3.8	4.3	4.8
	2. The firm or individual is properly licensed to practice law in the State of Texas and is an active member in good standing with the Texas State Bar.	5	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
	3. The firm has no conflict of interest with regard to any work performed by the firm for the District and all potential conflicts have been submitted.	5	4.2	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Professional Qualifications</b>	1. Does the firm have the experience, background and qualifications in providing services in the area (s) proposed?	30	20.3	18.7	27.0	13.5	22.3	23.2	23.3	19.5	13.8	19.2	26.5
	2. The attorney or firm's prior experience with the District												
	3. Does the firm have favorable client references?												
	4. Does the firm have a successful record in the area (s) proposed?												
	5. Does the firm have a realistic and effective policy when attorneys working on matters leave the firm or are reassigned?												
	6. Does the firm have an effective training program to keep professionals assigned to the District current on law/procedure, especially law related specifically to the area (s) proposed?												
<b>Depth and breadth of experience and expertise in the practice of law, as described herein</b>	1. Do the proposed attorneys have the work experience, educational background, professional and academic achievements, and professional skills to perform the services required in the area proposed as delineated in the RFQ?	30	24.5	23.2	28.5	13.3	26.7	24.5	23.2	20.0	21.3	21.0	24.3
	2. Does the firm have the capacity to provide attorneys to service the District?												
	3. Do the proposed personnel demonstrate the ability to accommodate and manage multiple projects?												
	4. Has the firm shown a demonstrated ability to service client requirements in a timely manner?												
<b>Cost of Services (not rates)</b>	1. Fee structure options.	25	21.0	16.7	20.8	22.8	21.2	18.0	23.8	20.0	14.8	22.8	22.0
	2. Cost savings opportunities to the District.												
	3. The attorney or firm's billing methodology.												
<b>Total Points</b>		<b>100</b>	78.5	72.5	91.2	64.0	83.8	80.3	85.3	74.2	63.8	77.3	87.5